BRITISH COLUMBIA ADMIRALTY DISTRICT.

1909

BARBER v. THE SHIP NEDERLAND.

Feby. 18.

Shipping—Action for damages for personal injuries sustained on foreign ship—Jurisdiction—Dismissal of action.

THIS was an action by the plaintiff for damages for personal injuries sustained whilst working on a foreign ship as a stevedore, such injuries being caused by the faulty construction of hatch coverings and beams supporting the same.

On 14th December, 1908, a motion was made on behalf of the ship to set aside a writ for want of jurisdiction, and alternately that the action is one in personam and not in rem.

F. Peters, K.C., for ship, cites the Admiralty Act, 1861, c. 7. Ship must be active cause of damage. The Theta (1); Currie v. McKnight (2); the Sylph (3); the Beta (4); Franconia (5); Vera Cruz (6); the Zeta (7); the Normandy (8); the Malvina (9); Vera Cruz (10). Distinguishes Wyman v. Duart Castle (11).

No action in rem unless maritime lien of some sort or allowed by statute. Currie v. McKnight, supra (12).

Where ship under charter, owners cannot be held liable for action of some one not under their control.

F. B. Gregory, for plaintiff. The case of Wyman v. Duart Castle (11) is in our favour.

By section 35 of Admiralty Act of 1861, the remedy under sec. 7 can be pursued either in rem or in personam.

- (1) [1894] P. 280.
- (2) [1897] A. C. 97.
- (3) 2 L. R. Ad. & Ec. 24.
- (4) L. R. 2 P. C. 447.
- (5) 2 P. D. 163.
- (6) 10 A. C. 59.

- (7) [1893] A. C. 468.
- (8) [1904] P. at 200.
- (9) Lush. 493.
- (10) 9 P. D. 88 & 96; Williams &

Bruce Ad. Pr. (3rd ed.) 76.

- (11) 6 Ex. C. R. 387.
- (12) See also Williams & Bruce, p. 73, Note (a).

Being a foreign ship judgment against owners is of little value if obtained.

1969 BARBER

On 18th February, 1909, Mr. Justice Martin, Local Judge, allowed the motion to set aside proceedings.

v.
THE SHIP
NEDERLAND.
Judgmen

Solicitors for plaintiff: Fell & Gregory.

Solicitors for ship: Bodwell & Lawson.